European Packaging Preferences 2020

A European study of consumer preferences, perceptions, and attitudes towards packaging.
Introduction

In March 2020, a European study of 5,900 consumers was commissioned by Two Sides and conducted online by independent research company, Toluna.

Nationally representative surveys were undertaken in Austria (500), Denmark (350), Finland (350), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), Italy (1,000), Norway (350), Sweden (350) and the UK (1,000).

The survey sought to explore and understand consumer preferences, perceptions, and attitudes towards packaging.

“Packaging is receiving more attention than ever in a bid to achieving a circular economy. Consumers are becoming more aware of the packaging choices for the items they buy, which in turn is applying pressure on businesses – particularly in retail. The culture of “make, use, dispose” is slowly changing.

We have conducted this research to understand consumer perceptions when it comes to packaging. The survey revealed that paper-based packaging is the preferred choice of consumers for its environmental attributes, such as recyclability and compostability, as well as many practical factors, such as being easier to store. Whereas glass is preferred for factors such as reusability and product protection. Plastic, as perhaps expected, did not rank highest for any attribute.

The survey also found that consumers throughout Europe are willing to change their behaviour in order to shop more sustainably. Many are willing to spend more on products if packaged in sustainable materials and nearly half would even avoid retailers seen not to be doing enough.

These changing shopper habits emphasis the need for retailers to promote their environmental efforts more, as well as a general increase needed in awareness of environmental labels and certifications.

We hope you find this report informative and useful.”
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About Two Sides

Two Sides is a not for profit global initiative promoting the unique sustainable and attractive attributes of print, paper and paper packaging.

Globally, Two Sides has over 600 members spanning the print, paper and packaging value chain including: forestry, pulp, paper, packaging, inks and chemicals, finishing, publishing, printing, envelopes and postal operators.

Contact Two Sides

If you would like to learn more about this report or find out about the many other tools and resources Two Sides produce, please get in touch.

+44 (0)1327 262920
enquiries@twosides.info
www.twosides.info
@TwoSidesUK
Key Findings

Packaging Preferences:

- Paper and cardboard packaging ranks highest with consumers for sustainability attributes including: home compostable (72%), better for the environment (62%) and easier to recycle (57%).

- Glass packaging is the preferred choice for factors including: Reusable (55%) and better protection of products (51%).

Consumer Behaviour:

- 70% of consumers are actively taking steps to reduce their use of plastic packaging.

- 48% of consumers would avoid retailers that are not actively trying to reduce their use of non-recyclable plastic packaging.

- 58% of Europeans believe non-recyclable packaging should be discouraged through taxation.

Online Shopping:

- 66% of consumers prefer products ordered online to be delivered in paper packaging rather than plastic packaging.

- 73% of consumers prefer products ordered online to be delivered in fitting packaging, i.e. not too big for the size of the actual product.

Recycling Perceptions:

- Paper/cardboard packaging is considered to be the most recycled material, with 30% of consumers believing the European recycling rate to be over 60% (85% of paper and cardboard packaging is recycled*).

- 23% of consumers believe glass has a recycling rate in excess of 60%. (Glass packaging has a recycling rate of 74%*).

- 17% of consumers believe metal has a recycling rate in excess of 60%. (Metallic packaging has a recycling rate of 80%*).

- Plastic is considered to be the least recycled packaging material. Although 12% of consumers overestimate the recycling rate of plastic, believing it to be over 60%. (Plastic packaging has a recycling rate of 42% in Europe*).

Environmental Labels:

- 64% are aware of the Forest Stewardship Council® (FSC®), and 55% are aware of the Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification™ (PEFC™). Europeans consider FSC slightly more important than PEFC.

- The Mobius Loop (recyclable) is the most recognised label in Europe, with 86% being aware of it. It is also rated the most important label by consumers.

The Shopping Bag:

- Consumers ranked paper bags highest for environmental factors such as: recyclability (52%), compostability (47%) and made with renewable materials (43%).

- Cotton/canvas bags were ranked highly on physical characteristics such as: premium feel (59%), durability (55%) and attractiveness (52%).

The value of the European packaging market was €195 billion in 2018, forecast to reach €214 billion in 2023 (packagingeurope.com, 2019). Packaging plays an extremely important part in the purchasing decision, as it displays vital product information and is used to preserve or enhance product quality. Packaging can come in a variety of forms and the packaging industry is always innovating to meet the demands of the consumer.

The subject of sustainability has been prevalent in the packaging industry, as packaging tends to be disposed of when a product has been purchased and unpacked. Consumer perceptions of the environmental impact of different packaging materials is therefore important to understand.

In addition to understanding consumer perceptions of environmental attributes, such as a material’s recyclability or compostability, respondents were also asked to choose their preferred materials based on practical and visual attributes, such as product protection and ease of opening/closing.

To begin, we asked respondents about 4 different packaging materials: paper/cardboard, glass, plastic, and metal. Looking at 15 different attributes, respondents were asked to match the material that they thought best suit each one. Of the 15 attributes, paper/cardboard was the average European favourite for 10, glass for 4, metal for 1, and plastic for none.

**Paper/cardboard is the preferred material for the following 10 attributes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Preference</th>
<th>Countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home Compostable</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>Austria, Germany, Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better for the Environment</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>UK, Italy, Denmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighter Weight</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>Italy, UK, Austria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier to Recycle</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>UK, Austria, Sweden, Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Expensive</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>UK, Italy, Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier to Open/Close</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>UK, Finland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>41%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>36%</th>
<th>37%</th>
<th>39%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EASIER TO STORE</strong></td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 41% chose paper/cardboard on average.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Glass is next highest at 21%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SAFER TO USE</strong></td>
<td>37%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 37% chose paper/cardboard on average.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Glass is next highest at 31%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MORE PRACTICAL</strong></td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 40% chose paper/cardboard on average.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Plastic is next highest at 26%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BETTER PRODUCT INFORMATION</strong></td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 36% chose paper/cardboard on average.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Glass is next highest at 21%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REUSABLE</strong></td>
<td>55%</td>
<td></td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 55% chose glass on average.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Paper is next highest at 22%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BETTER PROTECTION</strong></td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 51% of consumers chose glass on average.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Metal and plastic are the next highest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Glass is next highest at 22%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PREFER LOOK AND FEEL</strong></td>
<td>41%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 41% chose glass on average.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Paper is next highest at 28%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BETTER BRAND IMAGE</strong></td>
<td>39%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 39% chose glass on average.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Paper is next highest at 31%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STRONGER/MORE ROBUST</strong></td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 51% of consumers chose metal, on average.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Glass is next highest at 22%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Glass is the preferred material for the following 4 attributes*

*Metal is the preferred material for the following attribute*
Environmental labels are an important factor of packaging as it allows consumers to understand the environmental attributes of a product or its packaging, which could influence their purchasing decisions. Environmental labels will include forest certification schemes, recyclability, and composability. Respondents were asked how important they perceived each environmental label to be.

Consumers were asked to rate a selection of environmental labels for importance, using a rating of 1 to 5 (1 for being least important and 5 for most important). They were also given the option of “unaware of this label”.

The first two labels were in relation to forest certification schemes. 60% of European consumers are aware of the two main forest certification labels, which are the Forest Stewardship Council® (64% aware), and the Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification™ (55% aware).

**FSC®**

The survey found that 64% of European consumers are aware of this label. Countries with the most awareness of FSC are Italy (74%) and the UK (73%). Norway is the least aware of this label at 51%. Respondents aware of FSC gave it an average score of 3.5/5 for importance. It is perceived as most important in Italy (3.7/5) and the UK (3.4/5).

**PEFC™**

The survey found that 55% of European consumers are aware of this label. Countries with the most awareness of PEFC are Italy (67%) and France (60%). Norway is the least aware of this label at 41%. Respondents aware of PEFC gave it an average score of 3.1/5 for importance. It is perceived as most important in Italy (3.5/5) and France (3.2/5).
**Mobius Loop**

This label indicates that an object is capable of being recycled, not that the object has been recycled or will be accepted in all recycling collection systems.  
*Source: Recycle Now*

86% of consumers are aware of the recyclable label (mobius loop) and gave it an average score of 3.7/5 for importance. This suggests that they are aware and place importance in the recyclability of packaging, regardless of the material. Finland (93%), Sweden (92%) and Italy (89%) are most aware of the mobius loop.

---

**The Green Dot**

The Green Dot does not necessarily mean that the packaging is recyclable, will be recycled or has been recycled. It is a symbol used on packaging in some European countries and signifies that the producer has made a financial contribution towards the recovery and recycling of packaging in Europe.  
*Source: Recycle Now*

84% of respondents are aware of this label. The Green Dot also scored an average of 3.6/5 for importance. Consumers are most aware of this label in Germany (93%) and Austria (89%).

---

**EU Ecolabel**

The EU Ecolabel is a label of environmental excellence that is awarded to products and services meeting high environmental standards throughout their life cycle. The label promotes the circular economy.  
*Source: European Commission*

61% of European consumers are aware of this label. The average score of importance for this label is 3.4/5. Consumers are most aware of the EU Ecolabel in Germany (93%), Austria (89%) and France (85%).

---

**The Seedling (Compostable)**

Products certified to be industrially compostable according to the European standard EN 13432/14955 may bear the ‘seedling’ logo.  
*Source: Recycle Now*

45% of European consumers are aware of this label, with an importance score of 3.1/5. Consumers are most aware of this label in Italy (60%), the UK (47%) and Finland (43%).
Consumer awareness of how packaging is impacting the planet is increasing, in part due to informative documentaries such as David Attenborough’s Blue Planet 2. Since the BBC programme was broadcast in late 2017, packaging, and sustainability more generally, has played a significant part in governmental policies around the globe, as well as featuring heavily in the media, public discourse and throughout boardrooms.

The increasing number of environmentally conscious consumers, as well as governmental policies on reducing waste, is causing retailers and producers to drastically improve their green credentials. Respondents were asked to what extent they agree with the following series of statements:

“I am actively taking steps to reduce my use of plastic packaging.”
70% of respondents agreed, or strongly agreed.

“I would be willing to spend more on a product if it were packaged using sustainable materials.”
44% of respondents agreed, or strongly agreed.

“I now buy more from retailers who are removing plastic from their packaging.”
46% of respondents agreed, or strongly agreed.
“Non-recyclable packaging should be discouraged through taxation.”
58% of respondents agreed, or strongly agreed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neither Agree Nor Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Non-recyclable packaging should be discouraged through taxation.”

“Non-recyclable packaging should be discouraged through taxation.”

“Non-recyclable packaging should be discouraged through taxation.”

“I prefer products ordered online to be delivered in paper packaging rather than plastic packaging.”
66% of respondents agreed, or strongly agreed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neither Agree Nor Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“I prefer products ordered online to be delivered in paper packaging rather than plastic packaging.”

“I prefer products ordered online to be delivered in a fitting packaging e.g. that is not too big for the size of the actual product.”
73% of respondents agreed, or strongly agreed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neither Agree Nor Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“I prefer products ordered online to be delivered in a fitting packaging e.g. that is not too big for the size of the actual product.”

“I would consider avoiding a retailer if I knew they were not actively trying to reduce their use of non-recyclable plastic packaging.”
48% of respondents agreed, or strongly agreed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neither Agree Nor Disagree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Retailers, particularly supermarkets, throughout Europe have been improving their environmental commitments. We asked respondents which retailers they perceive to be doing the most to reduce their use of non-recyclable packaging. We have already established that this is important to consumers with an average of 48% stating they would consider avoiding a retailer if they were not taking steps to reduce their use of non-recyclable plastic packaging.

Overall, an average of 30% of respondents are unsure of the efforts retailers are making to increase their use of environmentally friendly packaging. Those in the Germany, Norway and the UK appear most uncertain. Those in Denmark appear most aware of retailers' efforts.

We asked consumers to rank retailers to establish which ones they believe to be putting in the most effort to increase their use of environmentally friendly packaging.

### Retailers considered to be doing the most to increase their use of environmentally friendly packaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Retailers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Austria | 1. Spar  
2. Merkur  
3. Hofer |
| Finland | 1. S-Market  
2. K-Market  
3. Lidl |
| Norway | 1. Kiwi  
2. Coop  
3. Meny |
| Denmark | 1. Coop  
2. Rema 1000  
3. Lidl |
| Germany | 1. Edeka  
2. REWE  
3. Kaufland |
| Sweden | 1. ICA  
2. Coop  
3. Willys |
| France | 1. Biocoop  
2. Bio C’Bon  
3. Naturalia |
| Italy | 1. Coop  
2. Esselunga  
3. Conad |
| UK | 1. Waitrose  
2. Sainsburys  
3. Tesco and Morrisons |

In every country, the average score for each retailer was between 2.5-3.5 out of 5. No retailers scored above 3.5/5, showing that consumers do not rate any retailer highly in concerns of their efforts to increase their use of environmentally friendly packaging.
Packaging that is irresponsibly disposed of has a negative impact on the natural environment. For instance, each year, at least 8 million tonnes of plastics leak into the ocean (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2016).

Recycling packaging enables the value of the materials to be maintained and avoids polluting the environment. In Europe, currently 67% of packaging (across all material types) is recycled.

**Perceived Recycling Rates**

The survey revealed that paper/cardboard packaging is considered the most recycled by European consumers, with an average of 30% of respondents believing that the recycling rate is over 60%. However, just 9% of respondents believe the paper recycling rate to be more than 70%. 85% of paper/cardboard packaging is recycled in Europe*.

Overall, plastic is considered to be the least recycled packaging material. Although 12% of consumers overestimate the recycling rate of plastic, believing it to be over 60%. Plastic packaging has a recycling rate of 42% in Europe*.

An average of 23% of respondents believe glass has a recycling rate over 60%. 74% of glass packaging is recycled in Europe*.

An average of 17% of consumers believe metal had a recycling rate over 60%. 80% of metallic packaging is recycled in Europe*.

---

Retailers have come under pressure to reduce their use of single-use plastics. This has resulted in attention turning to the shopping bags used after purchase, with retailers now offering plastic, paper, and canvas options. We asked consumers which attributes best suited the material options used for shopping bags to understand their preferences at check-out.

Consumers were asked their opinions on cotton/canvas, brown paper, white paper, lightweight plastic, and heavyweight plastic bags.

Whilst cotton bags were well liked for their attractiveness and durability, when it came to the impact on the environment, consumers favoured the paper bag for being recyclable, compostable and made from renewable materials.

Out of the 10 attributes, on average cotton/canvas bags are perceived best fit for 7, paper bags are perceived best fit for 3 and plastic bags best fit for none.

On environmental criteria, paper bags (brown paper bags and white paper bags combined) are the clear preference for 3 attributes:

- **Recyclable** – 52% prefer paper bags for this attribute, on average (58% in UK, 56% in France). Cotton/canvas and plastic bags are preferred by 23% of consumers for this attribute.
- **Paper bags are also perceived as best fit, on average, for being compostable (47%) but results varied by country. There was a particularly strong preference in France (57%), Sweden (52%), the UK (50%) and Italy (49%).
- **Made with renewable materials** – 43% of respondents prefer paper bags for this attribute, on average (49% in Austria). Cotton/canvas bags are preferred by 33% of consumers for this attribute.

Cotton/canvas bags has the highest average rating across all countries for 6 attributes:

- **High quality/Premium feel** – 59% prefer cotton/canvas bags. Particularly strong preference in Austria (78%) and Germany (70%). Paper bags are preferred by 19% of consumers for this attribute.
- **Reusable** – 56% prefer cotton/canvas bags. Particularly strong preference in Austria (61%), Germany (64%) and Italy (60%). Both paper bags and plastic bags are preferred by 20% of consumers for this attribute.
- **Durable** e.g. strong/unlikely to break – 55% prefer cotton/canvas bags. Particularly strong preference in Austria (69%) and Germany (63%). Plastic bags are preferred by 26% of consumers and paper bags by 17% for this attribute.
- **I prefer this type of bag** – 53% simply prefer cotton/canvas bags on average (Austria 60%). 21% prefer plastic bags and 20% prefer paper bags.
- **Attractive** e.g. colours/branding – 52% on average prefer cotton/canvas bags. There is a particularly strong preference in Austria (69%) and Germany (63%). In comparison, paper bags were preferred by 24% of consumers for attractiveness (up to 34% in Italy).
- **Enhances the quality of the purchased goods carried with the bag** – 50% prefer cotton/canvas bags. Particularly strong preference in Austria (65%) and Germany (60%). Paper bags are preferred by 24% of consumers for this attribute.
- **Low carbon footprint** - 41% perceive cotton/canvas bags to have a low carbon footprint. Particularly strong in Germany (55%) and Austria (58%). Paper bags is preferred by 36%.

Plastic is the least preferred option across all 10 attributes. However, respondents ranked plastic higher for durability (25%) than paper bags (17%).
Consumers were also asked how often they re-use each type of shopping bag. The number of times that paper and plastic bags are re-used varies. Consumers claim to re-use cotton/canvas bags the most (more than 10 times).

In recent years, there has been growing recognition of the impact of single-use packaging, particularly plastic, has on the environment. Relatively little plastic packaging is recycled (42% European average in 2017 and just 14% globally) and when discarded irresponsibly, it is a significant contributor to marine pollution.

Although it is better for the environment to reuse shopping bags to reduce waste, it’s also important to look at the full life cycle of each shopping bag to understand its true impact on the environment. For instance, research suggests that cotton bags need to be used at least 50 times to achieve the same climate performance as paper bags (The Danish Environmental Protection Agency, Life Cycles Assessment of grocery carrier bags, 2018).
The findings suggest that consumers are becoming more aware of the impact that packaging is having on the environment, particularly in relation to single use and non-recyclable packaging. Most are actively taking steps to reduce their use of plastic packaging (70%), but also, they recognise that paper/cardboard can be a more sustainable option. 62% perceived paper/cardboard to be better for the environment, 57% perceived it as being easier to recycle, and 72% recognising that it is home compostable.

There is an increasing level of pressure on retailers to increase their use of environmentally friendly packaging, with 48% of consumers agreeing that they would consider avoiding a retailer if they knew they were not actively trying to reduce their use of non-recyclable packaging. Additionally, 58% of consumers agreed that non-recyclable packaging should be discouraged through taxation.

The findings in relation to recycling rates also suggest paper/cardboard as being the most recycled packaging material in Europe. However, a significant amount of consumers still underestimate the recycling rates of paper, glass and metal packaging, meaning more needs to be done by these industries to improve perceptions and understanding.

For more research and reports from Two Sides, please visit www.twosides.info
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